

CS2080: Applied Privacy for Data Science Course Overview

Salil Vadhan, James Honaker, Priyanka Nanayakkara

School of Engineering & Applied Sciences
Harvard University

January 27, 2025

Announcements

- Fill out [first-class survey](#) today: yellkey.com/ago (good only for <24hrs)
 - If the yellkey link does not work for you, try this: <https://shorturl.at/jSosl>
- TF introductions: Zach Ratliff (head TF), Christian Aagnes, Sahil Kuchlous, Jason Tang, Yanis Vandecasteele
- Course website (<https://opendp.github.io/cs208/>) has 2025 syllabus.
- Office hours this week:
 - Salil Tue 1pm-2:30pm (Zoom), Fri 10:30am-12pm (SEC 3.327)
 - James Weds 9:30-10:30am (SEC 4.442)
 - Priyanka Wed 2:30pm-4:30pm (SEC 2.101)
 - Zach Thu 3pm-4pm (SEC 3.314)
- Background review sessions this week (recorded):
 - Theory/math/stats/algorithms: Thu 9:45-11:00am (SEC 4.308)
 - Programming/experiments: TBD

Plan for today: whirlwind course overview

- Salil: motivation for & overview of differential privacy theory
- James: from theory to practice
- Priyanka: human-centered DP (i.e., “usable” DP)
- Salil: class structure
- Q&A

Data Privacy: The Problem

Given a dataset with sensitive information, such as:

- Census data
- Health records
- Social network activity
- Telecommunications data

How can we:

- enable “desirable uses” of the data
- while protecting the “privacy” of the data subjects?




Academic research
Informing policy
Identifying subjects for drug trial
Searching for terrorists
Market analysis
and more ...



????

Approach 1: Encrypt the Data

Name	Sex	Blood		HIV?
Chen	F	B		Y
Jones	M	A		N
Smith	M	O		N
Ross	M	O		Y
Lu	F	A		N
Shah	M	B		Y




Name	Sex	Blood		HIV?
100101	001001	110101		110111
101010	111010	111111		001001
001010	100100	011001		110101
001110	010010	110101		100001
110101	000000	111001		010010
111110	110010	000101		110101

Problems?

Approach 2: Anonymize the Data

Name	Sex	Blood		HIV?
Chen	F	B		Y
Jones	M	A		N
Smith	M	O		N
Ross	M	O		Y
Lu	F	A		N
Shah	M	B		Y




[Sweeney '97]

“re-identification” often easy

Problems?

Approach 3: Mediate Access

Name	Sex	Blood		HIV?
Chen	F	B		Y
Jones	M	A		N
Smith	M	O		N
Ross	M	O		Y
Lu	F	A		N
Shah	M	B		Y



Existing Query Interfaces

Advanced Search - Search all data in American FactFinder

1 Advanced Search 2 Table Viewer

Result 1 of 1

VIEW ALL AS PDF

80101 AGE AND SEX
2012-2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Table View

BACK TO ADVANCED SEARCH

Actions: Modify Table Add/Remove Geographies Bookmark/Save Print Download Create a Map

This table is displayed with default geographies. Click Back to Search to select other geographies using the search options on the left. Tell us what you think. Provide feedback to help make American Community Survey data more useful for you.

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Versions of this table are available for the following years:	Subject	United States					
		Total		Male		Female	
		Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
36	Total population	318,558,162	*****	158,765,322	+/-8,427	161,792,840	+/-8,432

IES NCES National Center for Education Statistics

International Data Explorer

IDE | IAP | PISA | PIRLS | TIMSS | PIAAC | TALIS

Contact Us

PISA IDE 1. Select Criteria 2. Select Variables 3. Edit Reports 4. Build Reports

STEP 4: View each report table by selecting the report name from the drop-down menu. Create report types to edit and preview, each tab created represents one report type to export.

Subject, Age: Mathematics, Reading and Science, 15 years
Jurisdiction: International Average (OECD Countries)
Measure: PISA Mathematics Scale: Overall Mathematics
Variable: All students
Year: 2015

Select Report: Report 1

Link to this Page

Export Reports

Table Chart Significance Test Gap Analysis Regression Analysis

Averages for PISA mathematics scale: overall mathematics, age 15 years by All students [TOTAL], year and jurisdiction: 2015

Year	Jurisdiction	Average	Standard Error
2015	International Average (OECD Countries)	490	(0.4)

NOTE: The PISA mathematics scale: overall mathematics ranges from 0 to 1000. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.
SOURCE: Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), Program for International Student Assessment (PISA), 2015 Mathematics, Reading, and Science Assessment.

NCBI Resources How To Sign in to NCBI

PheGeni Phenotype-Genotype Integrator

All Databases

Search

Search Summary

Search Criteria

Phenotype Selection

Trait: Abdominal Fat; Peanut Hypersensitivity

P-Value: $< 1 \times 10^{-1}$

Genotype Selection - Location

Chromosome: 13

Modify Search

Search Results

Association Results	Genes	SNPs	eQTL Data	dbGaP Studies	Genome View
1 - 3 of 3	1 - 4 of 4	1 - 2 of 2	No data found	No data found	2 SNPs and 4 genes over 1 chromosome.

Modify Search Show All Hide All

Google Trends Explore

differential privacy United States, Past 12 months


May 12, 2019 Sep 1, 2019 Dec 22, 2019 Apr 12, 2020

Interest by subregion

Subregion	Interest
1 Massachusetts	100
2 California	60
3 Washington	60
4 Virginia	72

Approach 3: Mediate Access

Name	Sex	Blood		HIV?
Chen	F	B		Y
Jones	M	A		N
Smith	M	O		N
Ross	M	O		Y
Lu	F	A		N
Shah	M	B		Y




Problems?

Privacy Enhancing Technologies (PETs)

Model	Utility	Privacy	Who Holds Data?
Differential Privacy	statistical analysis of dataset	individual-specific info	trusted curator
Secure Multiparty Computation	any query desired	everything other than result of query	original users (or semi-trusted delegates)
Fully Homomorphic (or Functional) Encryption	any query desired	everything (except possibly result of query)	untrusted server

Differential privacy

[Dinur-Nissim '03+Dwork, Dwork-Nissim '04, Blum-Dwork-McSherry-Nissim '05, Dwork-McSherry-Nissim-Smith '06]




Requirement: effect of each individual should be “hidden”

Differential privacy

[Dinur-Nissim '03+Dwork, Dwork-Nissim '04, Blum-Dwork-McSherry-Nissim '05, Dwork-McSherry-Nissim-Smith '06]


Sex	Blood		HIV?
F	B		Y
M	A		N
M	O		N
M	O		Y
F	A		N
M	B		Y



adversary

Differential privacy


[Dinur-Nissim '03+Dwork, Dwork-Nissim '04, Blum-Dwork-McSherry-Nissim '05, Dwork-McSherry-Nissim-Smith '06]



Requirement: an adversary shouldn't be able to tell if any one person's data were changed arbitrarily

Differential privacy


[Dinur-Nissim '03+Dwork, Dwork-Nissim '04, Blum-Dwork-McSherry-Nissim '05, Dwork-McSherry-Nissim-Smith '06]



Requirement: an adversary shouldn't be able to tell if any one person's data were changed arbitrarily


Differential privacy

[Dinur-Nissim '03+Dwork, Dwork-Nissim '04, Blum-Dwork-McSherry-Nissim '05, Dwork-McSherry-Nissim-Smith '06]



Requirement: an adversary shouldn't be able to tell if any one person's data were changed arbitrarily

Simple approach: random noise



- Very little noise needed to hide each person as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The (Inherent) Privacy-Utility Tradeoff



• Every statistical release incurs some privacy loss ϵ_i .

- More noise \Rightarrow more privacy (smaller ϵ_i), less accuracy
- Less noise \Rightarrow less privacy (larger ϵ_i), more accuracy
- Tradeoff is less stark on larger populations ($n \rightarrow \infty$)

With multiple queries, the privacy loss accumulates.


- Overall privacy loss $\leq \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \dots + \epsilon_k$
- There are better composition theorems for differential privacy.
[Dwork-Rothblum-V. 09, Kairouz-Oh-Viswanath `15, Murtagh-V. `16, ...]

Recommended use: set an overall budget ϵ (e.g. $\epsilon = .1$)

- Stop answering queries when budget reached.




Amazing possibility I: synthetic data



Utility: preserves fraction of people with *every* combination of attributes!

Problem: uses computation time exponential in d

Amazing Possibility II: Statistical Inference & Machine Learning



• **Theorem [KLNRS08,S11]:** Differential privacy for vast array of machine learning and statistical estimation problems with little loss in convergence rate as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The Differential Privacy Goldmine



- DP raised fascinating questions and connections for theorists in many areas

cryptography, computational complexity, machine learning, statistics, information theory, convex geometry, mechanism design, quantum computing, programming languages, databases, data structures, streaming algorithms, ...

While addressing a problem of urgent societal need!

- Many fundamental theoretical questions remain, and efforts to bring DP to practice raise even more.




These were James's Slides

Apple will not
see your data



Differential Privacy Deployed



Apple



Google




Microsoft



Census Bureau



Uber



Meta

Major Deployments of DP

U.S. Census Bureau

- “OnTheMap” commuter data (2006)
- All public-use products from 2020 decennial census

Google

- “RAPPOR” for Chrome Statistics (2014)
- Privacy Sandbox for AdTech (2019)

Apple

- iOS10 and Safari(2016)
- Private Click Measurement (2022)

Microsoft


- SmartNoise (2020)
- AI for Good: Broadband Coverage (2021) Digital Divide (2024)

Wikimedia

- Usage Metrics (2024)


Mozilla

- Firefox Privacy Preserving Attribution (2024)
- Anonym Private Lift and Attribution (2024)



Harvard Privacy Tools Project

<http://privacytools.seas.harvard.edu/>



Alfred P. Sloan
FOUNDATION



Computer Science, Law, Social Science, Statistics



CRCS Center for Research on
Computation and Society



BERKMAN KLEIN CENTER
FOR INTERNET & SOCIETY AT HARVARD UNIVERSITY



DATA PRIVACY LAB

A **community effort** to build a **trustworthy** and **open-source** suite of differential privacy tools that can be **easily adopted** by custodians of sensitive data to make it available for **research and exploration** in the public interest.

Why?


- Channel our collective advances on science & practice of DP
- Enable wider adoption of DP
- Address high-demand, compelling use cases
- Provide a starting point for custom DP solutions
- Identify important research directions for the field

Project site: <http://opendp.org>



OpenDP

```
>>> from opendp.meas import make_base_geometric
...
>>> # call the constructor to produce a measurement
>>> base_geometric = make_base_geometric(scale=1.0)
...
>>> # investigate the privacy relation
>>> absolute_distance = 1
>>> epsilon = 1.0
>>> assert base_geometric.check(d_in=absolute_distance, d_out=epsilon)
...
>>> # feed some data/invoke the measurement as a function
>>> aggregated = 5
>>> release = base_geometric(aggregated)
```





<https://opendp.org/opendp-summer-interns>

February 1 Deadline

2025 projects include:


- **Building and integrating software**
- **Community building and outreach**
- **Usability and UX**
- **Writing math proofs**
- **DP research**
- **Privacy, ethics, policy and responsible use**

Challenges for DP in Practice

- Accuracy for “small data” (moderate values of n)
- Modelling & managing privacy loss over time
 - Especially over many different analysts & datasets
- Analysts used to working with raw data
 - One approach: “Tiered access”
 - DP for wide access, raw data only by approval with strict terms of use (cf. Census PUMS vs. RDCs)
- Cases where privacy concerns are not “local” (e.g. privacy for large groups) or utility is not “global” (e.g. targeting)
- Matching guarantees with privacy law & regulation
- ...

Challenge for DP in Practice

When to rely on DP and how to combine DP with other privacy enhancing techniques?



A high-angle, black and white photograph of a massive, dense crowd of people. The individuals are packed closely together, filling the entire frame. The crowd is diverse in age and appearance. In the center of the image, there is a semi-transparent white rectangular box containing the text "Goal of DP: protect people's privacy" in a bold, white, sans-serif font.

**Goal of DP:
protect people's privacy**

The privacy piece: How does DP interact with other understandings of privacy?

Several frameworks for privacy, including:


- Privacy as control / information disclosure
- Privacy as interpersonal boundary regulation
- Privacy as social context

See Wisniewski and Page 2022 for a summary

The privacy piece: How does DP interact with other understandings of privacy?


Several frameworks for privacy, including:

- Privacy as control / information disclosure
- Privacy as interpersonal boundary regulation
- **Privacy as social context (Contextual Integrity, Nissenbaum 2009)**



The people piece: How can we make DP “usable”?

- Several previous implementations have required expert teams, but it’s unrealistic to expect most organizations to have DP experts in-house.
- How can we make DP usable for data analysts *without* DP expertise? How might we support them in setting privacy budgets?
- Once we’ve designed usable tools, how do we evaluate them? How do we know they’re helping analysts make “better” decisions?



The people piece: How can we communicate DP's guarantees to diverse audiences?

- Several parties have an interest in how data are protected:
 - Data subjects (i.e., people contributing their information)
 - Data users (data analysts at companies, researchers, etc.)
 - Policymakers
 - ...and more
- How might we communicate DP's guarantees to these audiences?

Beyond privacy: Evaluating downstream data utility

- What are the implications of adding noise to computations, especially for high-stakes data releases?
- How should we define “utility”?
- How might we systematically and rigorously assess the downstream utility of data protected under DP?

Class Goals

By the end of the course, we hope that you will all be able to:

- Identify and demonstrate risks to privacy in data science settings,
- Correctly match differential privacy technology with an application,
- Safely implement privacy solutions, and experimentally validate the performance and utility of algorithms,
- Understand differential privacy at a level sufficient to engage in research about best practices in implementation, apply the material in practice, and/or connect it to other areas,
- Analyze the ethical and policy implications of differential privacy deployments,
- Formulate and carry out an interesting, short-term independent research project, and present the work in both written and oral form.

Course Elements

- Pre-class readings to comment on via Perusall
- In-class small group discussions. Attendance expected.
- Lecture on both theory & implementation (bring your laptop for live-coding) (live-streamed & recorded in case you have an excused absence)
- Problem sets, approx. weekly. Mix of analytical and experimental problems.
- Weekly section and office hours
- Final project


Grading: approx. 20% participation, 40% problem sets, 40% project

Prerequisites

Basic probability at the level of STAT 110, and algorithms and Python/R programming at the level of CS109/AC209 or CS1200.

If you are unsure, ask us and use first 1-2 weeks to gauge.

Recommended Textbook



Lots of other resources in annotated course bibliography and in readings assigned on Perusall.

Class Culture

Desiderata:

- Inclusive & supportive environment
- Shared learning mission
- Diverse experiences & viewpoints valued
- Learn from inquiry & disagreement

To this end:

- Be kind and open-minded
- Let us know if anything is said or done (including by us!) that feels inappropriate
- Let us know if experiences outside class or physical/mental health issues are impacting your performance in class

Other Courses that Cover DP

- CS 1260 “Fairness & Privacy: Perspectives of Law & Probability” (Fall 2024, Spring 2026)
- Stat 188 “Variations, Information and Privacy” (Fall 2024, Fall 2025?)
- AC 221 “Critical Thinking in Data Science (Spring 2025)
- CS 2260 “Topics in Theory for Society: Differential Privacy” (Fall 2025)
- Boston U. “Privacy in Statistic and Machine Learning” (Spring 2025, TuTh 2pm-3:15pm)

Course Topics I

- Privacy Attacks on “De-Identified” Data and Statistical Data Releases
 - Reidentification attacks
 - Reconstruction attacks
 - Membership attacks
- Foundations of Differential Privacy
 - Definition and interpretation
 - Basic mechanisms (Laplace, Gaussian, randomized response, histograms, exponential)
 - Composition of differential privacy & other measures of privacy
 - Survey of known algorithms and experimental validation

Course Topics II

- Implementing (centralized) differential privacy
 - Deployments by US Census Bureau and other organizations (Microsoft, Wikimedia Foundation, ...)
 - Synthetic data releases and statistical releases
 - Differentially private machine learning and deployments by Google and Meta
 - Programming platforms such as OpenDP
 - Interfaces & usability
 - Evaluating downstream utility
- Distributed Models differential privacy
 - Local vs. federated vs. centralized DP
 - Basic theory and mechanisms (randomized response, histograms, SGD)
 - Combining DP with other PETs (e.g. secure multiparty computation)
 - Deployments by Google, Apple, Meta, Mozilla

Course Topics III

- Social perspectives on DP
 - Differential privacy in relation to other (non-CS) privacy philosophies
 - Communicating differential privacy guarantees to various stakeholders
 - Privacy law and policy
 - Power dynamics in sociotechnical systems
- Government & industry panel discussion
- Other possible topics (depending on time and interest)
 - Differential privacy for graph and social network data
 - Statistical inference under differential privacy
 - Side-channel & randomness attacks on implementations

Announcements

- Fill out [first-class survey](#) today: yellkey.com/ago (good only for <24hrs)
 - If the yellkey link does not work for you, try this: <https://shorturl.at/jSosl>
- TF introductions: Zach Ratliff (head TF), Christian Aagnes, Sahil Kuchlous, Jason Tang, Yanis Vandecasteele
- Course website (<https://opendp.github.io/cs208/>) has 2025 syllabus.
- Office hours this week:
 - Salil Tue 1pm-2:30pm (Zoom), Fri 10:30am-12pm (SEC 3.327)
 - James Weds 9:30-10:30am (SEC 4.442)
 - Priyanka Wed 2:30pm-4:30pm (SEC 2.101)
 - Zach Thu 10am-11:30am (SEC 3.314)
- Background review sessions this week (recorded):
 - Theory/math/stats/algorithms: Thu 9:45-11:00am (SEC 4.308)
 - Programming/experiments: TBD